

## LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS—Continued

[Week of Jan. 13 through Jan. 20, 1984]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
Do. ....	The Seattle Times, Seattle, Washington.....	HFA-0206 .....	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. If granted: The January 9, 1984 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Bonneville Power Administration would be rescinded, and the Seattle Times would receive access to the September 27, 1979, internal memorandum by D. Smithpeter, Project Engineer.
Do. ....	West Coast Oil Company, Los Angeles, California.....	HRR-0077 .....	Motion for Reconsideration/Modification. If granted: The December 9, 1983, Decision and Order (Case No. HRD-0090) issued to West Coast Oil Company would be modified regarding overcharges in the sale of refined petroleum products.
Jan. 17, 1984.....	Oasis Petroleum Corporation, Washington, D.C.....	HES-0040 .....	Request for Stay. If granted: Oasis Petroleum Corporation would receive a Stay of the January 6, 1984, Petition for Special Redress proceeding submitted by Lucky Stores, Inc., pending a final decision of the Temporary Emergency Court of Appeals ("TECA") in <i>Dorchester Gas Producing Company v. United States Department of Energy and Donald P. Hodel</i> , TECA 5-103.

## REFUND APPLICATIONS RECEIVED

[Week of Jan. 13 to Jan. 20, 1984]

Date	Name of refund proceeding/name of refund applicant	Case No. assigned
Oct. 31, 1983.....	Amoco/State of Illinois.....	RF21-12263.
Jan. 16, 1984.....	Amoco/Coher Oil Company.....	RF21-12264.
Do. ....	Amoco/Coher Oil Company.....	RF21-12265.
Do. ....	Belridge/California.....	RQ8-46.
Jan. 17, 1984.....	Standard Oil Company of Indiana/Michigan.....	RQ21-47.
Jan. 19, 1984.....	Amoco/Ute Mountain Ute Tribe.....	RQ5-48.

[FR Doc. 84-3175 Filed 2-3-84; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

## Western Area Power Administration

## Gore Pass-Blue River 345-kV Transmission Line, Grand and Summit Counties, Colorado; Intent To Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Western Area Power Administration, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of intent to Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), the Western Area Power Administration (Western) Department of Energy, intends to prepare a supplemental environmental impact statement to the Rural Electrification Administration's (REA) draft and final environmental impact statement (EIS) for the Hayden-Blue River 345-kV transmission line project. Western intends to address transmission line routing alternatives between Gore Pass Substation and the Blue River Substation, the southern half of the Hayden-Blue River Project, in the supplemental EIS. The purpose of this notice is to solicit participation, comments, and suggestions in preparing the supplemental EIS.

**DATES:** Written comments are due March 9, 1984.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William C. Melander, Environmental

Specialist, Loveland-Fort Collins Area Office, Western Area Power Administration, P.O. Box 3700, Loveland, CO 80539, (303) 224-7231. Gary W. Frey, Director, Division of Environmental Affairs, Western Area Power Administration, P.O. Box 3402, Golden, CO 80401, (303) 231-1527.

## Background

The proposed action was originally analyzed in the REA EIS for the Hayden-Blue River 345-kV transmission line project (USDA-REA-EIS (ADM):82.2). The proposal involved constructing, operating, and maintaining a 90-mile electric transmission line and associated facilities from Western's existing Hayden Substation near Hayden, Colorado, to the proposed Blue River Substation northwest of Dillon, Colorado. The transmission line would be constructed at 345-kV but initially energized at 230-kV. Western, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (FS), and the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), cooperated in the development of the REA EIS.

REA issued a record of decision (ROD) for the project on September 30, 1982, which was followed by decisions from the FS on November 7, 1982, and the BLM on November 9, 1982. The decisions authorized the construction of the Hayden-Blue River 345-kV transmission line in one of two

environmentally preferred corridors. Specifically, the FS's ROD granted the right-of-way on National Forest System Lands within Route and Arapahoe National Forests. However, Grand County and the Grand River Ranch Corporation opposed the decision, and appealed the FS's ROD. The appeal has been remanded to the Regional Forester, Rocky Mountain Region, pending the outcome of local permitting activities.

Since completion of REA's EIS, Western and the other participants in the Hayden-Blue River transmission line project have reviewed their long-range needs and have amended the project participation agreement. The agreement now provides different ownership terms and cost and capacity sharing, and divides construction management responsibilities. Specifically, the new cost and capacity shares for the project are as follows: Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc. (Tri-State), 34 percent; Colorado Ute Electric Association (Colorado-Ute), 22 percent; Platte River Power Authority (Platte River), 22 percent; and Western, 22 percent. The previous participation percentages were: Tri-State, 50 percent; Colorado-Ute, 20 percent; Platte River, 20 percent; and Western, 10 percent. The agreement now provides for Tri-State to be project and construction manager for the northern portion of the line from Hayden to an existing Tri-State substation at Gore Pass near Kremmling, Colorado; and provides for Western to



be the project and construction manager for the southern portion from the Gore Pass Substation to the proposed Blue River Substation. In the previous agreement, Tri-State was project manager and would have constructed the entire line from Hayden to Blue River.

Western, a power marketing administration of the Department of Energy, is responsible for the Federal electric power transmission and marketing function in 15 Central and Western States. Western sells power to about 530 customers consisting of cooperatives, municipalities, public utility districts, private utilities, Federal and State agencies, and irrigation districts. Current installed generating capacity that Western markets is 8,321 megawatts. Western owns and operates 69-, 115-, and 138-kV facilities in the project area. Western's original participation was for the purpose of enhancing transmission system reliability. Since the Hayden-Blue River project was originally defined, Western has undertaken a study of its underlying 115-/69-kV system and recognized an opportunity to incorporate its needs into a comprehensive plan to solve area-wide needs. Western now proposes to consolidate some of the 115-/69-kV system with the Gore Pass-Blue River proposal, allowing Western to remove portions of the 115-/69-kV system in the project area.

Since the changes in the participation agreement are confined to the corridors defined in the REA's ROD, significant changes relevant to environmental concerns are not expected. Western proposes to utilize the resource information developed for the REA EIS to analyze alternative routings in the supplemental EIS. Tri-State will utilize the same resource information in routing the transmission line between Hayden and Gore Pass Substation in compliance with local transmission siting requirements.

The purpose of this notice is to solicit comments and suggestions for consideration in preparing the supplemental EIS and invite the participation of Federal, State, and local agencies and interested organizations and individuals. The FS and the BLM have already been asked to cooperate with Western in the development of the supplemental EIS. Any written comments or participation requests will be considered prior to the development of the supplemental EIS.

Environmental issues identified in REA's scoping process for the Hayden-Blue River project will be addressed in the supplemental EIS. In addition, based

on a review of the appeal of the FS's ROD, Western has identified additional environmental issues, including the consideration of reasonable alternatives, consideration of more specific routing alternatives, and consideration of relationships of other related actions. In the supplemental EIS, Western intends to respond to environmental issues raised in the FS appeal, to describe impacts and recommended mitigation measures for the removal of certain 115-/69-kV lines in the project area, and to evaluate routing alternatives within the corridors described in REA's ROD between Gore Pass Substation and the proposed Blue River Substation.

The No Action, Generation Curtailment, Conservation and Load Management, Renewable Energy Systems, Transmission Line, and Corridor alternatives were addressed in the REA's EIS. Unless specific comments are received, Western does not intend to readdress the alternatives discussed in the REA EIS, except for some variations of the transmission line alternatives.

A supplemental draft EIS is expected to be completed by October 1, 1984, at which time its availability will be announced in the *Federal Register* and public comments will again be solicited. Those individuals who do not wish to submit comments at this time but who would like to receive a copy of the supplemental draft EIS when it is issued should notify William C. Melander at the address given above. In addition, Western intends to conduct a series of public workshops to obtain input on alternative line routings. The public workshops will be announced separately.

Copies of the REA EIS and record of decision are available for inspection at the addresses given above.

Issued at Golden, Colorado, January 27, 1984.

Robert L. McPhail,  
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 84-3171 Filed 2-3-84; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPE-FRL 2518-7]

### Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Section 3507(a)(2)(B) of the

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) requires the Agency to publish in the *Federal Register* a notice of proposed information collection requests that have been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review. The information collection requests listed are available to the public for review and comment.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Bowers; Office of Standards and Regulations; Information Management Section (PM-223); U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460; telephone (202) 382-2742 or FTS 382-2742.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Toxics Programs

• Title: FIFRA Annual Report on Conditional Registrations (EPA #0601).

Abstract: EPA requires respondents to report annually the amount of conditionally registered pesticide products they produce. The Agency will use this information in its annual report to Congress on conditional registrations.

Respondents: Pesticide manufacturers.

#### Agency PRA Clearance Requests Completed by OMB

EPA #0114, Motor Vehicle Tampering Survey, was cleared on January 13 (OMB #2060-0010).

Comments on all parts of this notice should be sent to:

David Bowers (PM-223), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Standards and Regulations, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460; and

Wayne Leiss, Carlos Tellez or Rick Otis, Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, New Executive Office Building (Room 3228), 726 Jackson Place, NW., Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: January 27, 1984.

Daniel J. Fiorino,  
Acting Director, Regulation and Information Management Division.

[FR Doc. 84-2988 Filed 2-3-84; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[AD-FRL 2520-7]

### Control Techniques Guideline Document; VOC Equipment Leaks From Natural Gas/Gasoline Processing

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).



**ACTION:** Release of final control techniques guideline (CTG) document.

**SUMMARY:** Final CTG document for control of equipment leaks of volatile organic compounds (VOC) from natural gas/gasoline processing plants is available. This final CTG document provides guidance for the States in determining reasonably available control technology (RACT) for VOC equipment leaks from natural gas/gasoline processing plants.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the final CTG document may be obtained by contacting the Environmental Research Library (MD-35), (919) 541-2777, Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711. Please refer to "Guideline Series—Control of Volatile Organic Compound Equipment Leaks from Natural Gas/Gasoline Processing," EPA-450/3-83-007. Comments received on the draft CTG document are attached as an appendix to the final CTG document and are also available for public inspection and copying between 8:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday at the Chemicals and Petroleum Branch, Room 736, Environmental Protection Agency, 411 West Chapel Hill Street, Durham, North Carolina.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. J. F. Durham, (919) 541-5671, Chemicals and Petroleum Branch (MD-13), Emission Standards and Engineering Division, Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The EPA announced the availability for public review of the draft CTG for VOC equipment leaks from natural gas/gasoline processing plants on January 25, 1982 (47 FR 3403). Twelve comments were received on this draft document from industry representatives and trade groups. The final CTG document was prepared based on the evaluation of the public comments and on consideration of supplemental data analyses provided subsequent to the issuance of the draft CTG document in "Fugitive Emission Sources of Organic Compounds—Additional Information on Emissions, Emission Reductions, and Costs," or AID EPA-450/3-82-010 (April 1982). Several major changes were made in the final RACT determination. The emission reduction estimates were revised based upon the methodology presented in the AID, and an exemption from the leak detection and repair requirements was provided for plants that do not fractionate natural gas liquids and that have feed capacities of less than 280

thousand cubic meters (10 million cubic feet) per day. Additional exemptions have been provided in the final CTG. All leaks that cannot be repaired on-line no longer have to be repaired within 1 year of detection and control of valve positioner emissions from gas-operated control valves is not required. Exemption from the routine leak detection and repair requirement is provided for equipment in dry gas service (containing less than 1.0 percent VOC by weight), in heavy liquid service, and in vacuum service. Reciprocating compressor seals in wet gas service (i.e., containing between 1.0 and 50 percent VOC by weight) are exempted from RACT requirements if there is no control device available in the gas plant.

This CTG document is part of the third group of CTG documents published to assist the States in determining RACT for various stationary sources of VOC emissions. CTG documents provide State and local air pollution control agencies with an initial information base for proceeding with their own analysis of RACT for specific stationary source categories of VOC emissions located within areas where an extension was granted to the attainment of the national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for ozone. The CTG documents review existing information and data concerning the technology and cost of various control techniques to reduce VOC emissions.

This CTG is not a "rule" as defined by the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 551 et seq.). It is a "rule" for purposes of Executive Order 12291, because it is designed to implement an EPA policy. Under Executive Order 12291, EPA must judge whether a rule is "major" and therefore, subject to the requirements of a regulatory impact analysis. This CTG document is not a "major rule" because it does not impose any new requirements. This notice and the final CTG documents were submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review. Any comments from the OMB to the EPA and any EPA responses to those comments are available for public inspection. See the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice for the times and addresses.

Dated: June 6, 1984.  
Sheldon Meyers,  
Assistant Administrator for Air, Noise, and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 84-3128 Filed 2-3-84; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Chokio Agency, Inc.; Formations of; Acquisitions by; and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies and Acquisitions of Nonbanking Companies

The company listed in this notice has applied under § 225.14 of the Board's Regulation Y (49 FR 794) for the Board's approval under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842) to become a bank holding company or to acquire voting securities of a bank or bank holding company. The listed company has also applied to under § 225.23(a)(2) of Regulation Y (49 FR 794) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (49 FR 794) to acquire or control voting securities or assets a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated for that application. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. With respect to the application, interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding this application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than February 29, 1984.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (Bruce J. Hedblom, Vice President) 250 Marquette Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480;